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ABSTRACT

Blockchain has been gaining focus in research and development for diverse industries in recent years. Nevertheless, innovations that impact to the banking nurture a potential for disruptive impact globally for economic reasons; however it has received less scholarly attention. Hence the effect of blockchain technologies on banking industry is systematically reviewed. The relevant literature is extracted from Scopus, Web of Science and bibliometric techniques are applied. While a bulk of earlier papers focuses only on bit coins, a broader framework is envisaged that synthesizes interdisciplinary thematic areas for advancement; hence novelty in current work. A few practical and theoretical implications for stakeholders in view of technology, law and management are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the early 2008, a working proof-of-concept paper was published online being authored under a pseudonym [48]. Slowly the work gained attention of researchers but concepts leading to the invention were published several decades earlier [49]. Put in a nutshell, the paper demonstrated working of bit coin, a type of currency that can be transacted digitally anytime around the world. Within a decade, there has been immense advancements of techniques into big data [28], machine learning [5], internet of money [55], etc. Blockchain, a broader concept that encompasses a model for bit coin transactions, is highly resilient against tampering of the data. By design, this model is "an open, distributed ledger that can record transactions between two parties efficiently and in a verifiable and permanent way" [31]. A banking entity, traditionally an intermediary between two transacting parties; i.e. buyer and seller of financial asset, has to re-position itself in this new realm. Additionally, risk factor and impact on banking of world economy is well documented [26] [39]. Business intelligence approaches for banking have positive results [30], but IT adoption requires customization for multicultural settings [38]. The digital economy perspective demands sector analysis [6] [34], providing inclusive solutions [32]. Nevertheless, severe dearth of knowledge exists because lack of proper theoretical foundation.

Having demonstrated the impact in finance, technology and government space, the blockchain framework has extended beyond bit coin [64] [65]. A crucial question arises on ways to overcome misconceptions and identify technology caveats for developing world. By such, thin boundaries of hype and reality on blockchain applications get delineated as argued by [53]. Hence, the present study poses 3 empirical research questions: RQ1. Which are the current technologies within the blockchain ecosystem for competitive advantage to banking institutions? RQ2. How will blockchain based digital platforms transform existing value-creating interactions? RQ3. What are the implications on legality, technical structure and organizations from an information systems perspective? The remaining sections of this paper are organized into Section II- background and earlier related work; Section III- methodology and data for research; Section IV- emerging research avenues; Section V- discussion & proposed framework and Section VI- conclusion & suggestions.

II. RELATED WORK

Basically the systematic review looks on the blockchain research from three lenses. First type were papers focusing exclusively on solutions to banking industry. These include theoretical models or empirical framework with potential for strategic change [3], operational advantages [25], or stock market functions. Second are which highlight practical scope or challenges in platforms, legal, technical and organizational dimensions [7] [24]. Third, specific application oriented papers utilizing conceptual/experimental settings. For core banking based solutions, works on Know-Your-Customer (KYC) [47], bank credit and financing [68], inter-bank payments [43] were explored. For stock market related, lots of innovation is missing. In stock trading business, settlement and clearing process usually consume up to three days (or longer, depending on country and bank types). This implies actual money and shares are frozen for a specific amount of time period. Such delay incurs overhead costs and businesses loss while effects is compounded in high frequency trading scenarios. Major researches have investigated market prediction models [40] [41], decentralization [29] [57], booking registrations [46], etc. Similarly, smart contract is a computer code built into the blockchain to facilitate, verify, or negotiate a contract agreement [21]. Smart contracts operate under a set of pre-set conditions that users agree to. When conditions are met, terms of the agreement are automatically executed, as for insurance [17], etc. Recent works show that open blockchain frameworks like
IBM Fabric process transactions have higher workloads & security than conventional databases [2].

For integrating lessons from banks [27], finance [36], strategy [70], mobile bank [10] and socio-economics [54], the current study use an exploratory approach and inductive reasoning.

### III. Methodology and Data

The journal/book articles in Scopus, Web of Science and ACM, IEEE and AIS (Association for Information Systems) conference proceedings published in 2008-2019 (11 years) were collected. Additionally the patents [18], doctoral thesis [56] and industry reports [43] were used as secondary information. Under each category, the articles were chosen for their match with the theme of blockchain and industry focused. In current work, VOSviewer, an open source software tool is used for data analysis and visualization. Specifically methods used to generate the charts are BCAD (Bibliographic Coupling Analysis of Documents) and CCAR (Co-Citation Analysis of References) [66]. Specific aim is to quantitatively assess the research output in peer reviewed journals. From Scopus, 70 documents were retrieved. The Scopus data in CSV file format got downloaded to apply the bibliographic analysis method using VOSviewer software.

As seen in Fig. 2, the majority of research studies constituted from Business & Management (21.2%), followed by Economics and related fields (20.3%), Computer science (19.5%) & Social sciences (12.7%). Other areas contributed low to research efforts. Interestingly blockchain applications also find use in biochemistry (2.5%), agriculture and medicine (1.7% each) and others [61].

![Fig. 1. Research trend (source: Scopus).](image)

Scopus query format: *(TITLE-ABS-KEY (blockchain) AND TITLE-ABS KEY (*bank)) AND (LIMIT-TO (SRCTYPE,"j"))*. This query in database selects research articles having title, abstract or keywords as “blockchain” and “bank”. Articles with 1 citation and published during 2008-2019 were used. Fig. 1 shows renewed research interest in blockchain. Only less than 5 articles were published in 2015 but by 2019, no. increased to 35 (85 % increase).

![Fig. 2. Fields of research (source: Scopus).](image)

Documents by subject area

As seen in Fig. 2, the majority of research studies constituted from Business & Management (21.2%), followed by Economics and related fields (20.3%), Computer science (19.5%) & Social sciences (12.7%). Other areas contributed low to research efforts. Interestingly blockchain applications also find use in biochemistry (2.5%), agriculture and medicine (1.7% each) and others [61].

![Fig. 3. Publication outlets (source: Scopus).](image)

Fig. 3 shows the major publication peer reviewed journals that encourage blockchain research. Financial innovation has articles from 2016 to present, followed by Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Business horizons and IEEE.

CCAR (Co-Citation Analysis of References): CCAR method is forward looking assessment of past works. Here the frequency of citation received for two published articles together by another paper gets counted. This method analyzes from past research perspective to highlight an intellectual foundation i.e., references highly co-cited, recognizing seminal studies for field.

![Fig. 4. CCAR output (source: VOSviewer).](image)

As in Fig. 4, all studies were processed to visualize cited articles. The methodical assumption is that results support/contradict a particular thought school and evolve overtime. Here Nakamoto (2008) [48] appeared twice in visualization since this article is not a formally published study but rather a proof-of-concept. The other influential articles were published in 2017 [31] by HBR and an IEEE IoT study [12]. Study [31] used non-expert language to outline technical foundations of blockchain. They dispel the hype by highlighting pros and cons of blockchain systems. [12] designed framework enabling operation of blockchain + IoT devices. To find the studies on banking with stock market impact, a second search is done after varying the parameters.

BCAD (Bibliographic Coupling Analysis of Documents): This method helps in recognizing present trend/direction of research efforts. Here the measure of similarity is found among studies. This is done by identifying common references between two distinct research works.
Basically, the procedure selects any research article having title, abstract or keywords as “blockchain” logical AND “bank” or “stock market” terms. From this, around 217 results were retrieved. Out of this, studies cited at least 1 are chosen by setting threshold value. Following this, 85 items were filtered. Out of this, 52 journal items are grouped into 8 clusters by VOSviewer (Fig. 5).

Scopus query syntax: (TITLE-ABS-KEY (blockchain) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (“stock market”) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (bank))

Query structure: TOPIC: (blockchain) AND TOPIC: (bank) is visualized under the Results Analysis page of WoS database interface.

The major studies are summarized in Table I in Appendix. The top Scopus paper [25] had prominence percentile score of 99.945, Field weighted citation impact 9.51 (Elsevier based metrics data).

Web of Science Analysis: Before executing WoS database query, under the databases dropdown select options, “Web of Science core collection” is chosen. After this process, 28 results are obtained, from which 22 are articles, 4 review papers, 2 book chapters and editorial material and 1 proceedings paper. From these, articles with 1 citation are chosen. After this, 17 items were visualized into 4 clusters (Fig. 6).

Table II in Appendix lists the major works. Top WoS study [23] had average citations/year 9.33 as of Jan-2020 (Clarivate Analytics data).

IV. Emerging Areas of Research

From review, the major themes are banking, information systems, innovation, law, finance [63], sustainability, entrepreneurship & digital infrastructure (standards, scalability [72], security, & privacy [67]). This section further examines the current directions like:

Social media leveraged prediction: Interesting research directions are: What are prediction methods to forecast future market conditions utilizing unstructured data sources? Research groups globally have increased attention to capture user generated content (UGC), social media for advanced explanatory/predictive models [56] [59].

Business model transformations: Future research questions of interest can be: What are the innovative business models that combine platform based technologies and incentivized interactions? With empirical evidence of positive correlation between bit coin transaction volume and human development, if technology solutions affect Human Development Index (HDI) in developing economies, this leads to sustainable outcomes [58] [18].

Machine learning based service evolution: Future research can investigate areas like: How do machine learning models fit into the ethical or legal framework of blockchain system? Ex: Can government/industry body inherently frame legal implications of data privacy/security (GDPR) and similar data protection rules into secured blockchain? Findings from these ideas can pave way for solutions to ethics, machine learning, and security researcher’s community [62].

Market reaction for blockchain: Looks variations in investor reaction on short-term and long-term basis for information disclosure of bit coin prices by firms. Study compared results with SEC (Securities and Exchange Council), USA concerns and local media reports. Future research could investigate if managers exploit this momentary investor overreaction, sell stock, engaging insider trading activities, exercising options, etc. [11].

Digital platforms as infrastructure: An editorial note [13] points interesting avenues of research study that simplify intellectual property rights (IPR) to create ownership, protect rights using technologies like blockchains. Fostering the debate to balance the goals of privacy against the desire for economic growth is needed. Will social media be viewed as “critical infrastructure” given their ability to influence critical societal functions even as elections? If so, what are appropriate regulatory regimes? Which is the suitable role for government intervention into how platforms operate? Is self-regulation in digital platforms feasible? Ex. Libra from Facebook proposed as blockchain based open source digital currency.
V. DISCUSSION AND PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

Broadly, the issues associated in blockchain technologies specific to banking were identified. Major drawbacks of blockchain or crypto currencies are technology cost incurred, low transaction throughput, illegal use like terrorism, drug trade, cyber-crimes, etc. [20]. Therefore, need arises for industry consensus to solve challenges with collective benefit [60].

Regulatory landscape: The legality of blockchain and bit coin is subject of intense public confusion and government scrutiny, hence still a grey area. Adding to complexity, there exists ambiguity at multiple countries [33]. For example, in India, where services including banking contribute more than 50% GDP (2018), it was announced in 2018 that bit coin was not a legal tender for transactions, but blockchain technology is promoted in payment systems.

Socio-economic drivers: The blockchain ecosystem, like any other major technological upgradation or shifting, requires a multilevel effort and time. The process of technology use and adoption of literature and models, such as TAM (Technology Acceptance Model)/UTAT (Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology), and status quo theory finds relevance in this context [9].

Another interesting study analyzed the changes brought by bit coin from bank currency notes even culturally [24]. The framework attempts in coherent logic linking theories from information systems, management and sociology.

Effect of bit coin prices and impact of alternate bank systems in economy policy had been investigated earlier [15] [35] [52] denoting economic impact. Fig. 10 outlines a roadmap to develop blockchain systems in cross-country basis. It synthesizes earlier work [54], to map concerns [19], pitfalls of regulation, technical/organization aspects.

VI. CONCLUSION

One limitation of this study is that only 72 research works could be covered in the systematic review. The external databases (JSTOR etc.) hold more literature but is outside the scope of this paper. Also, citation data from Scopus/WoS compared to Google Scholar or reference managers like Mendeley, etc. varies highly. Hence the criteria to choose research is only judgmental; for ex: citations count as proxy measure for impact. While earlier works limited to WoS, present study overcomes with Scopus also. There are two papers visually located far from clusters centroids, indicating lack of extended work on entrepreneurship and standards that hinders blockchain [37] [1]. Also, general inference is that blockchain technology is affected by government, infrastructure and organizational dynamics. While Scopus has literature addressing specific applications and empirical practice, WoS offers insights into the theoretical dimensions and societal implication aspects.

Now answering the posed questions, RQ1: In developing economies, consortium led blockchain systems like R3 and strategizing of Fintech 2.0 roadmap are essential. Instead of replicating strategies of firms like Google, Amazon, Apple or Facebook, etc. the digital banking systems must be redesigned for newer modalities. One such example is Paytm of India. Most of these firms are developing in-house payments ex: Apple Pay, Google Pay enabled with near field technologies (NFC), contactless payments or facial recognitions. Hence, a paradigm shift to evolve banking models on services and operations front is key to stay relevant. RQ2 answer: Synergy of banking management information systems with worldwide real-time inter-bank network is the future. By monitoring global risks, functionality is augmented using interactive and business intelligence tools for mobile banking, stock market predictions, credit evaluations, risk management, etc. for investors and customers. Banking-as-a-service (BaaS) has evolved into service platform impacting the customer-businesses-government interactions. RQ3 answer: The standardization in transactions, privacy/security infrastructure and resolution mechanism by large banking institutions can influence smaller and non-banking public and private blockchain entities. New corporate social media and customer relations management will hence be strong catalysts for operations strategy. The government, industry and stakeholder community must undertake holistic & co-creation approach for blockchain solutions. The current paper intends as blueprint to transform concerns and formulate actionable deliverables. Future work can adopt causal research using either panel data or survey based investigation into effectiveness of blockchain solutions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Study</th>
<th>Objective &amp; Methods</th>
<th>Findings and limitations</th>
<th>Major theme and suggestions</th>
<th>Total citations (TC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guo and Liang (2016) [25]</td>
<td>To assess the blockchain for banking industry in the Chinese context. Uses primary data and industry reports.</td>
<td>Blockchain + banks (FinTech 2.0) has superior customer experience, efficiency, cost and security.</td>
<td>Banking. Shift to R3, consortium led blockchain. Payment clearing system, bank credit information systems are vital.</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al. (2016) [71]</td>
<td>Editorial note. Introduction to 7 academic papers in special edition.</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary nature of blockchain research. WoS and SSRN articles grown from 0 in 2014 to 11 and 79 respectively by 2016.</td>
<td>Innovation. Challenges remain on theoretical issues of societal impact, smart contracts, security etc.</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiviat (2015) [33]</td>
<td>To integrate research from diverse domains and educate legal community, help practitioners. Primary and secondary data, legal proceedings analysis.</td>
<td>Regulatory patchworks are underway in USA but virtual currencies pose uncertainty.</td>
<td>Legal. Policymaker must be cautious and have precision in tailoring the scope of regulation</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen (2016) [51]</td>
<td>To study role of blockchain in sustainable outcomes investigating in finance industry. Secondary sources and data used.</td>
<td>Limits competition as blockchain network will be shared. Risks from payment, technology cost and stability hinder.</td>
<td>Sustainability. Further study on crowdsourcing, payment system. Banks face pressure from investors and competing MNC’s.</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDonald et al. (2016) [42]</td>
<td>To explore the implications of blockchain technology on the future of banking. Uses systematic review and theory building methods.</td>
<td>Enables to competing banks organizations, shifting banking transactions to out of centralized organizations and into decentralized markets.</td>
<td>Economy. Need to find balance between technology adoption and governance.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber et al. (2017) [69]</td>
<td>To find out limitations of two mainstream blockchains, Ethereum and Bit coin. Uses simulation experiments.</td>
<td>Network reordering impact transaction commit times and even counter balance the effects of transaction fees and gas price.</td>
<td>Distributed systems. Application developers must consider factors that may dramatically affect quality of service.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giungato et al. (2017) [22]</td>
<td>To define and evaluate sustainability of bit coin, and environmental impacts, social issues and economic aspects.</td>
<td>Transition of the entire monetary system to a new crypto currency can result in an undesirable amount of energy consumed to mine new bit coins.</td>
<td>Sustainability. Extend to medical data, energy generation and distribution in microgrids at the citizen level, block-stack &amp; state-driven crypto currencies.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecchetti et al. (2017) [8]</td>
<td>To design Solidus, a protocol for confidential transactions on public blockchains, such as those required for asset transfers with on-chain settlement.</td>
<td>Implements Solidus and present a set of benchmarks indicating that the system is efficient.</td>
<td>Data privacy. Solidus hides transaction values and transaction graph (i.e., identities of transacting entities) while maintaining the public verifiability.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berentsen and Schär (2018) [7]</td>
<td>An introduction to bit coin, operation and applications.</td>
<td>Price volatility and scaling issues makes bit coin adoption a complex affair.</td>
<td>Crypto currencies. Bit coin and crypto assets have potential to become asset class.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II. Top 5 Impact Making Research (Based on Citations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Study</th>
<th>Objective &amp; Methods</th>
<th>Findings and limitations</th>
<th>Major theme and suggestions.</th>
<th>Total citations(TC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gomber et al. 2018 [23]</td>
<td>To review the technology innovation, process disruption, &amp; services transformations. Quantitative &amp; qualitative data.</td>
<td>Assessing the factors outlined in study and discussed needs to be repeated.</td>
<td>Information systems. Scope for developing research by interdisciplinary sources, designs, theory and methodologies.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anjum et al. 2017 [1]</td>
<td>To compare the types and performance of blockchain architectures.</td>
<td>Platforms for specific sectors and application domains are emerging. Current models exhibit limitations in areas related to scalability, flexibility, and governance.</td>
<td>Standards. Standardization activity required to as technologies enablers and for interoperability.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treleaven et al. 2017 [63]</td>
<td>Editorial note. On special issue covering journal research articles.</td>
<td>As blockchain technology evolves, it becomes disruptive for other technologies such as big data, the IoT, intelligent assistants, and autonomous vehicles with opportunities and unintended social consequences.</td>
<td>Multi-themed. Smart contracts could become the management framework for many of private records in the future.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegeldorf et al. 2018 [72]</td>
<td>To study CoinParty, an efficient decentralized mixing service for users to reestablish financial privacy.</td>
<td>The prototype implementation scales to large no. of users, achieves data anonymity higher level than earlier models.</td>
<td>Data privacy. Third party independent deployment feasible for organizations.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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